EXHIBIT A

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA Case no. 1:23CV00878-TDS-JEP

DEMOCRACY NORTH CAROLINA; NORTH CAROLINA BLACK ALLIANCE; LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS OF NORTH CAROLINA,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

ALAN HIRSCH, in his official capacity as CHAIR OF THE STATE BOARD OF ELECTIONS; JEFF CARMON III, in his official capacity as SECRETARY OF THE STATE BOARD OF ELECTIONS; STACY EGGERS IV, in his official capacity as MEMBER OF THE STATE BOARD OF ELECTIONS; KEVIN LEWIS, in his official capacity as MEMBER OF THE STATE BOARD OF ELECTIONS; SIOBHAN O'DUFFY MILLEN, in her official capacity as MEMBER OF THE STATE BOARD OF ELECTIONS; KAREN BRINSON BELL, in her official capacity as EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE STATE BOARD OF ELECTIONS; NORTH CAROLINA STATE BOARD OF ELECTIONS,

Defendants.

30(b)(6) DEPOSITION OF THE STATE BOARD OF ELECTIONS by PAUL COX

NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH 301 HILLSBOROUGH STREET RALEIGH, NC 27603

10:03 A.M. MONDAY, FEBRUARY 24, 2025

By: Denise Myers Byrd, CSR 8340, RPR

- Q. Does a new voter get a confirmation mailer after the first mailer bounces back with a forwarding address?
- A. No, I don't think so. I think -- but ask

 Mr. Holland to see exactly what situation would

 prompt that. I have a hunch, but I'd rather him

 answer it.
- Q. Sure. Yeah, it's complicated which is why I have so many questions about it.

My last question, and it's fine if it's the same answer, is there any circumstance in which a same-day registrant operating in the Senate Bill 747 one-mailer world would receive a confirmation mailer pursuant to that same-day registration, so separate and apart from a later event?

- A. I can't think of a reason, but I'll refer you back to -- I think all this, I want Mr. Holland to be able to get through that because there could be complicated situations that are, you know, very specific to a small sliver of voters that could trigger this. I'm just not sure.
- Q. Okay.

ATTORNEY SHENTON: I'm going to mark
Exhibit 6 which is a document that's been

1 produced by the state board. It's Bates-stamped 2 NCSBE 3097, and I'm going to screen share this 3 one as well. 4 (WHEREUPON, Exhibit 6 was marked for 5 identification.) 6 BY ATTORNEY SHENTON: 7 You see my screen? Q. 8 Α. Yes. 9 Mr. Cox, do you recognize this document? 10 Α. Yes. 11 What is it? 0. 12 This is a document that we produced in discovery Α. 13 in this litigation, and it is data from 14 the -- well, I don't know how many elections. 15 know it covers the November general election 16 from 2024. It may cover others beyond that, but 17 I know it covers the November 2024 election, and 18 it's data showing the different statuses applied 19 to same-day registrants in the election who 20 failed mail verification. 21 And I think it does include the primary, if you Q. 22 can see my screen. 23 Α. Yes, I do see that. But I believe it's just those two, the 2024 25 primary and the 2024 general.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. That's right.
- Q. You said this is a document that shows the statuses of every voter who failed mail verification?
- A. And same-day registered in those elections.
- Q. Correct. Sorry. I should have said both parts.

 And then what are the different
 statuses as you understand them that could apply
 to voters in this snapshot?
- A. Well, you'll notice there's different columns in the spreadsheet, and those columns refer to the fields each of which has various statuses that would apply to same-day registrants in various stages of the registration process.
- Q. Okay. And scrolling a little bit to the right here. Looking at rows G and I, row G is labeled SDR_undeliverable_cure_status, and then -- or I'm sorry, I said row. I should say column G and column I. And then column I is ballot_status.

What's the difference between these two columns?

A. So the undeliverable cure status refers to whether a same-day registrant who failed mail verification has successfully cured that mail

1 verification failure pursuant to the numbered memo that we issued after the court decision. 3 And the ballot status is related, but 4 it refers to what should happen to that voter's 5 ballot and why based upon the cure status. 6 Okay. So let's start with the different Q. 7 possibilities under cure. You can see I've 8 opened up the list of possibilities under 9 column G, and I see five different statuses. 10 Do you see that? 11 Yes. Α. 12 Just taking them one at a time, what does denied Q. 13 default time limit mean? 14 Α. That refers to whether a voter who would need to 15 cure a verification failure has actually cured 16 by the time limit for them to cure, the time 17 limit being the canvass date. 18 Okay. And how does that vary from the next one Q. 19 which is denied failed cure? 20 Α. I think -- I think that is a manual status that 21 would -- that could be applied by a county board 22 of elections user, whereas the default time 23 limit would be an automatically applied status 24 once you gets to the canvass.

But, you know, as to -- as to the

3

business rules as to, you know, how -- whether one would override another, I'm not sure about Mr. Holland might know.

4

But in both of those circumstances, the voter's Q. registration was denied and they did not successfully cure that; is that correct?

6

7

5

Correct. Α.

8

9

What about that third status code, started cure Q. process, what does that mean?

That is a status code where -- that the county

10 11 Α.

13

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 24
- 25

Case 1:23-cv-00878-TDS-JEP

- user should enter into that -- this is all in this new module that we created after the court decision in early 2024. And that is the status that the county board staff person would enter when an undeliverable mail verification comes back and it's prior to the second business day before the canvass and the county board is going to send out a cure notice and inform the voter by other means, if they have other contact means, that they need to cure that address verification failure. And so that is the status indicating that they've started that process to ask the voter to cure it.
- Is there a functional difference between sitting Ο. here today with this snapshot of the voter file

55

Filed 05/23/25

after the election has been canvassed between denied failed cure and someone who started the cure process but hasn't been notated to finish that process?

4

5

3

I don't believe so. Α.

6 7

Ο. Both of those voters would have not had their

8

successfully cured their same-day registration, correct?

9

10

Α. As the process was intended, yes.

11

12

13

ballot accepted because they had not

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You could have -- because this was a manual process that we had to create very quickly, it doesn't have sort of the strict business rules that we would typically code into the system, like into SEIMS, so it didn't have quite as many restrictions on what a county user could do or couldn't do based upon various scenarios.

So it's conceivable that you could have county users entering data that -- entering the start cure process, for example, after the deadline was to start the cure, just as an example. I don't know if that actually happened. I'm just saying it's possible that you could have some situations where it doesn't

correspond exactly as the way it was intended.

Q. When you say business rules, what do you mean by

that?

A. It's a term of art we use when referring to our software as it was created. When the state board develops software in the State Elections Information Management System, we go through a complicated process of determining how that software is supposed to operate, and so part of that is determining what the business rules should be for how that process flow works.

An example of a business rule would be if -- you know, we coded into the system that anyone who is pending a cure in this scenario where they same-day register and they had a mail verification that came back before the -- two days before canvass, then after the date of canvass you could have a business rule that says that registration automatically gets a status code of, you know, default time limit. So that would be an example of a business rule.

Q. Got it. So it's a way to sort of channel the flow through a particular module or system for state board and county board employees? That's kind of the function of the business rules?

Case 1:23-cv-00878-TDS-JEP

- A. Yeah, that could be a business rule. I mean, business rules could be -- I would state it more generally that business rules in our software are how the data and the software are supposed to operate in various circumstances.
- Q. Okay. And then moving to that fourth status code there, started new mailing, what does that mean here?
- A. That would be a situation where an address verification mailing is returned as undeliverable and the county board staff notices that there is a problem with the address that was entered into that registration record.

It could have been, you know, an interposed digit or letters, or it could have been a missing apartment number, or it could have been that, you know, the registrant didn't exactly know how to put their address down exactly the way that it could be delivered but the county user has a lot of experience -- so, for example, perhaps it's a college campus and they put down their residence hall, but the way that mail is delivered at that university, you don't address it to the residence hall and suite number or room number, instead, there's a

Page 10 of 15

Filed 05/23/25

different address convention for that residence hall in that campus. And county board staff often will have that knowledge about how that should be entered into the system so that mail gets delivered.

So that's another example of where the staff would get that undeliverable mailing back and say, oh, this was entered in a way that made sense to the user but actually it's not the way it gets differed to the postal service, so let's change this to the right way and issue a new mailing and we'll treat that as their first verification mailing.

And that was part of the numbered memo that was issued after the court decision, essentially two different -- two different paths to use after you get an undeliverable mailing from a same-day registrant. You have to first look and see if there's any address problem, and this would be the result if you identify an address problem.

Q. So start a new mailing is the code that would be used if there was an error or, like you said, address stated in a way that makes sense but not how the postal service delivers mail, all of the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Case 1:23-cv-00878-TDS-JEP

voters who are assigned this new mailing code will be voters for whom there was such a problem or typo identified?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And under that started new mailing system, would that then reset the clock, so to speak, on that person's one mailer ahead of canvass?
- A. Yes.
- Q. So if that new mailing did not return undeliverable by the close of business day before canvass -- or two business days before canvass, then that voter's ballot would not be removed from the count?
- A. Correct.
- Q. And then I think I know what this last one means, verified SDR cure. What is that?
- A. That is the code that would be entered by the county board staff if the voter who failed mail verification after same-day registering was notified that they needed to cure that verification failure and they did cure it by providing a copy of a HAVA document or perhaps showing up at the county canvass meeting to attest to their address.
- Q. And then switching from column G to column I,

60

Page 12 of 15

Filed 05/23/25

over to ballot status, you'll see there's four different ballot statuses possible there.

Taking them one at a time, what does the accepted ballot status mean?

- A. It means the ballot can remain in the count.
- Q. Okay. And cancelled?
- A. Cancelled -- I think cancelled is generally intended for situations where a voter same-day registered at early voting, had the address verification failure and then voted by a different means. And so when we have two different voter histories for the same voter, you would need to cancel one of them, obviously, and so that is a scenario I think where cancelled is intended to apply to these.

Now, there are -- I have a feeling -- well, Mr. Holland and I reviewed the data beforehand, and we have a good reason to believe that some counties might have used the cancelled status when the cure was not successful, so there may be some overlap between cancelled and SDR verification -- SDR failed verification which is another status under this field.

O. Got it. What does the not voted status mean?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. It could be the case that counties were miscoding voters who had failed same-day registration mail verification as not voted or cancelled?
- A. We have good reason to believe that, yes,

 counties -- I think one county in particular, we
 identified Mecklenburg County as having used the
 cancelled status for a voter who failed the cure
 process.

I'm not sure that we have any reason to believe that the not voted was used in that scenario, but it's possible.

- Q. And then SDR failed verification, could you define that term for me as well?
- A. Yes. So that is when the voter is offered the opportunity to cure the failed verification after same-day registration but does not cure

Case 1:23-cv-00878-TDS-JEP

within the required time period.

- Q. And would it be fair to say -- fair to conclude from this document that the only voters who were successfully able to cure their ballots or had their ballots counted on this spreadsheet would have accepted listed as their ballot status?
- A. Can you ask that again. I heard an "and" and an "or," and I want to make sure I have that question right.
- Q. For the voters who are on this spreadsheet who are same-day registrants who failed mail verification, is it fair to conclude that the only such voters who succeeded in having their ballot counted would have a ballot status of accepted?
- A. As this policy and as this module was intended, yes, but that is not necessarily going to be the case if -- because this is a process we had to create that was a manual process and because the status -- you're not going to remove a ballot just by pushing a button in the database, so if someone applied an SDR fail verification ballot status in the database, there is a separate step that has to take place physically to take their ballot out of the count. They have to go find

Page 15 of 15

Filed 05/23/25